1			
2			
3			
4			
5	· ·		
6			
7	FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT FOR THE		
8	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS		
9			
10	ENDRI SINANI, an individual,		
11	Plaintiff,	NO.	
12	v.		
13	RICHARD DAVID, an individual.	COMPLAINT	
14	Defendant.		
15			
16			
17			
18	COMES NOW Plaintiff ENDRI SINANI by and through counsel and allege		
19	the following against Defendant RICHARD DAVID:		
20			
21	I. INTRODUCTION		
22	1. This is a lawsuit for immigration financial support under the Form I-864,		
23	Affidavit of Support. The Form I-864 was created by the United States Congress		
24	in 1996 to ensure that family-sponsored immigrants are ensured a basic level of		
25	financial support, sufficient to meet the most basic needs of life. In mandating		
26			
27	Complaint – Page 1 Case No.	Immigration Support Advocates 1201 Pacific Avenue, Suite 600 Tacoma, WA 98402	

(844) 557-3646

.

CASE No.

	the Form I-864, Congress required visa sponsors, rather than the American				
	people, serve as a safety net to new immigrants.				
	2. Plaintiff is the Beneficiary of a Form I-864 signed by the Defendant.				
	Defendant has failed to provide Plaintiff with the basic level of subsistence				
	support promised in the Form I-864 contract. This lawsuit seeks to compel the				
	Defendant to fulfill his support duty mandated by the Form I-864 contract and				
	federal law.				
	II. JURISDICTION				
	3. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this lawsuit pursuant to 28				
	U.S.C. § 1331 as this action arises under federal immigration law. 8 U.S.C. §				
	1183a.				
4. This court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant as, by signing the					
	Form I-864 Defendant submitted to the jurisdiction of any court with subject				
	matter jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claims. 8 U.S.C. § 1183a(a)(1)(C).				
	III. VENUE				
	2. Venue in this matter is proper in this United States District because the				
	Defendant resides in this district. 28 U.S.C. § 1391(e)(1)(A).				
	IV. PLAINTIFF				
	3. Plaintiff Endri Sinani is a citizen of Albania and lawful permanent				
	resident ("LPR") of the United States of America.				
	4. Plaintiff resides in Houston, Texas.				
	COMPLAINT – PAGE 2 Immigration Support Advocates 1201 Pacific Avenue, Suite 600				

Tacoma, WA 98402 (844) 557-3646

V. DEFENDANT 1 2 5. Defendant Richard David is a citizen of the United States of America. 6. As further alleged below, Defendant served as Plaintiff's immigration 3 sponsor, thereby contractually promising to provide a specified level of income to 4 her. 5 7. Defendant resides in Houston, Texas. 6 7 VI. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS 8 9 Background concerning the Form I-864, Affidavit of Support. 8. United States immigration law has long prohibited immigration by 10 individuals deemed likely to be a drain on public resources. 11 9. The current immigration statute, in effect at all times material to the facts 12 13 alleged herein, forbids the entry of immigrants determined likely to become a "public charge." 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(4). 14 10. The Form I-864, Affidavit of Support ("Form I-864") is required for a 15 family-based immigrant visa applicant to overcome public charge inadmissibility. 16 See 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(4)(C). 17 Creation of the Form I-864 was mandated by Congress to ensure that 11. 18 certain classes of immigrants to the United States would be guaranteed a level of 19 financial support necessary to meet basic human needs. Cf. Illegal Immigration 20 21 Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-208, 110 Stat. 3009; see, e.g., Love v. Love, 33 A. 3d 1268, 1276 (Pa. Super. Ct. 2011) ("[i]t is 22 abundantly clear that the purpose of the Affidavit is to prevent an immigrant 23 spouse from becoming a public charge"). 24 12. The immigration agencies published interim regulations implementing the 25 26 Form I-864 in the summer of 1997, which regulations were finalized in 2006.

27

- Affidavits of Support on Behalf of Immigrants, 62 Fed. Reg. 54346 (Oct. 20, 1997) 1 (to be codified at 8 C.F.R. § 213.a1 et seq.) (hereinafter Preliminary Rules); 2 Affidavits of Support on Behalf of Immigrants, 71 Fed. Reg. 35732 (June 21, 3 2006). 4 13. The Form I-864 has been mandatory in marriage-based immigrant visa 5 cases at all times material to the case at bar. 6 7 14. The Form I-864 is a legally binding contract between the sponsor and the United States Government. 8 9 15. By signing the Form I-864, the sponsor expressly agrees to provide the intending immigrant with any support necessary to maintain her at an income 10 that is at least 125 percent of the Federal Poverty Guidelines for her household 11 size. 12 16. The Federal Poverty Guidelines are published annually in the Federal 13 Register, which published numbers govern the claims asserted herein. 14 17. The Form I-864 support obligation may be enforced by the immigrant 15 beneficiary, who is a third-party beneficiary thereof. See In re Marriage of Khan, 16
 - 18. The Form I-864 specifies that the sponsor's support duty continues until the beneficiary: (1) becomes a U.S. citizen; (2) can be credited with 40 quarters of work; (3) is no longer a permanent resident and has departed the U.S.; (4) after being ordered removed seeks permanent residency based on a different I-864; or (5) dies (collectively "the Terminating Events").

No. 44814-9-II, 2014 Wash. App. LEXIS 1916, at *4-5 (Div. II, Aug. 5, 2014).

Facts concerning Plaintiff's claims.

- 19. Defendant is a citizen of the United States of America.
- 20. Plaintiff is a citizen of Albania.

27

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

COMPLAINT – PAGE 4 CASE NO.

Immigration Support Advocates 1201 Pacific Avenue, Suite 600 Tacoma, WA 98402 (844) 557-3646 CASE No.

21.	Defendant elected to petition for Plaintiff to i	mmigrate to the United				
States.						
22.	Because he was the immigration petitioner, I	J.S. immigration law				
requ	required, as a precondition to approval of Plaintiff's application, that Defendant					
exect	execute and file with the U.S. immigration authorities a Form I-864 in support of					
Plair	Plaintiff (the specific document executed by Sponsor is referred to hereinafter as					
the "	the "Contract").					
23.	Defendant's support duty under the Contract	was subject to the condition				
precedent that Plaintiff gain status as a Lawful Permanent Resident ("LPR")						
based on the Contract signed by Defendant.						
24.	Plaintiff was granted status as an LPR of the	United States on December				
18, 2014.						
25.	Plaintiff's LPR status was based on the Contr	ract signed by Defendant.				
26.	None of the Terminating Events set forth in	the Contract have yet				
occurred.						
27.	Defendant has failed to provide Plaintiff with	ı financial support as				
required under the Contract.						
	VII. CLAIMS FOR RELIEF					
Brea	each of contract.					
28.	Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates all parag	graphs above as though fully				
state	stated herein.					
29.	By executing the Contract, Defendant entere	d into an express written				
contract with the United States Government.						
30.	Plaintiff is a third-party beneficiary of the Co	ontract.				
		gration Support Advocates 11 Pacific Avenue, Suite 600				

Tacoma, WA 98402 (844) 557-3646

31. Plaintiff has standing as third-party to enforce her rights under the 1 Contract. 2 32. Under the express terms of the Contract Defendant agreed to provide 3 Plaintiff with any support necessary to maintain her at an income that is at least 4 125 percent of the Federal Poverty Guidelines for her household size. 5 33. Defendant's responsibility to provide income support commenced on 6 7 December 18, 2014 when Plaintiff became a lawful permanent resident of the United States. 8 34. All conditions precedent to Defendant's duty to perform on the Contract 9 were fulfilled as of December 18, 2014. 10 Defendant has breached the Contract by failing to provide income support 35. 11 to Plaintiff. 12 36. As a result of Defendant's breach, Plaintiff has suffered damages in an 13 amount to be determined at trial. 14 15 VIII. REQUEST FOR RELIEF 16 Plaintiff requests the following relief from the Court: 17 A. Entry of judgment against Defendant and in favor of Plaintiff on each and 18 every cause of action asserted herein; 19 В. An award of actual damages in an amount equivalent to 125% of the 20 Federal Poverty Guideline for Plaintiff's household for the period from December 21 22 18, 2014 to the date on which judgment issues; A declaration that Plaintiff is entitled to continued receipt of financial C. 23 24support from Defendant in the amount of 125% the Federal Poverty Guidelines for her household size, less actual income, until the occurrence of one of the 25 Terminating Events. 26 27COMPLAINT - PAGE 6 **Immigration Support Advocates**

CASE No.

1201 Pacific Avenue, Suite 600

Tacoma, WA 98402 (844) 557-3646

1	D. An order of specific performance, requiring Defendant to make monthly		
2	payments to Plaintiff for the amount set forth in Paragraph C above, until such		
3	time as a Terminating Event occurs.		
4	E. An award of all Plaintiff's attorney fees;		
5	F. An award of all Plaintiff's costs;		
6	G. The right to amend this complaint to conform to the evidence presented at		
7	trial; and		
8	H. Such other and further relief in Plaintiff's favor as the Court may deem		
9	just and equitable under the circumstances.		
10			
11	DATED: May 24, 2017,		
12	Immigration Support Advocates		
13			
14	By: Greg McLawsen, Washington Bar Association #41870		
15			
16	1201 Pacific Ave., Suite 600 Tacoma, WA 98402		
17	Tel. (844) 557-3646 greg@i-864.net		
18	Attorneys for Plaintiff Endri Sinani		
19	Attorneys for 1 tantiff Enart Smant		
20			
21	·		
22	·		
23			
24			
25			
26			
27	G To the state of Comment Advantage		